The Shift Toward Extroversion in Architectural and Urban Thinking

Aliye Ahu GÜLÜMSER • Editor

The concept of extroversion is predominantly interpreted within a psychological framework, representing the antithesis of introversion - a tendency directed outward, towards the social realm (Jung, 1921). However, in the context of architectural and urban discourse, extroversion evolves from a mere personality feature into a distinct epistemology, methodology, relational framework. The extrovert turn indicates that the health of our constructed environments, and the disciplines that examine them, hinges on their ability to engage externally, remaining receptive to the material, ecological, and social complexities of the world.

To think extrovertly means to understand that meaning is relational, just like space. Architecture, planning, and urbanism have historically fluctuated between the aspiration for independence and the imperative of communication. The extrovert turn tends clearly toward the latter. It moves away from being alone in a discipline and toward relational epistemologies that see creativity as something that happens in trade, communication, and contact. It encourages us to perceive mind not as an individual act of mastery but as a communal process that develops via connection and exposure.

In this regard, extrovert thinking evokes Lefebvre's (1991) concept of the creation of space, which acknowledges that spatial form is not a fixed entity but a continuous social process. Latour (2005) posits that knowledge arises from networks of association, a concept that aligns closely with design and planning, where meaning is disseminated among human, technical, and ecological agents. The extrovert, in both thought and action, recognizes this diffused intelligence: a receptiveness to the unpredictable, the other, and the external.

This approach has both moral and knowledge-based effects. In a time of global upheaval, ecological collapse, digital change, and societal disintegration, retreating inside has become an appealing philosophical impulse. However, as Latour (2017) and Chakrabarty (2021) contend, the Anthropocene epoch necessitates an augmented type of consciousness that contextualizes human activity within the planetary and the non-human realms. Extrovert thinking therefore transforms into a position of participation, an openness to contemplate alongside the world rather than merely about it (Haraway, 2016). It reinterprets exposure, viewing it not as weakness but as engagement.

This outward orientation fits with what Deleuze and Guattari (1987) saw as becoming: thought as movement, connection, and plurality instead of closure. To think extrovertly is to permit several agencies, which are material, technical, ecological, to influence our imagination. To relinquish the illusion of disciplinary sovereignty and adopt a framework of co-evolutionary reasoning. Ingold (2018) reminds us that knowledge grows "along the lines of life," not apart from it; extrovert thinking acknowledges this entanglement as a requirement of truth.

This change means a new way of thinking about architecture and cities. The extrovert discipline no longer protects its borders; instead, it constantly redraws them through collaboration. It turns into a field of connections between theory and practice, between the local and the global, and between people and things. It recognizes that ideas are alive when they may move from one place to another, from one scale to another, and from one body of knowledge to another.

In the end, the extrovert turn makes us rethink how we think about cities and architecture. It pushes us to think beyond our own borders, to listen to others, and to build systems of coexistence instead of control. To think extrovertly means to build bridges of relationship instead of walls of certainty. It also means to agree that the strength of architectural and urban thought lies not in its internal coherence but in its dialog with the world it lives in.

Seasonal greetings!

References

Chakrabarty, D. (2021). The Climate of History in a Planetary Age. University of Chicago Press.

Deleuze, G., & Guattari, F. (1987). A Thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia. University of Minnesota Press.

Haraway, D. (2016). Staying with the Trouble: Making Kin in the Chthulucene. Duke University Press.

Ingold, T. (2018). Anthropology: Why It Matters. Polity Press.

Jung, C. G. (1921). Psychological Types. Princeton University Press.

Latour, B. (2005). Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory. Oxford University Press.

Latour, B. (2017). Facing Gaia: Eight Lectures on the New Climatic Regime. Polity Press.

Lefebvre, H. (1991). The Production of Space. Blackwell.